App I IX 4

1930-31 (Revd)

File No 64-28

Previous References
RL 661-20 Sl 7 B Sept 1921

Serial Nos 22-5, 24, 34 36 37 & 38

Later References
RLC/-20 Sl 9411

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial Nos 6

Part B for October 1930

SUBJECT

Construction of the frontage buildings to the new General Market, Bangalore &c

---

& 38 To the Revd Gen^y, Bore Gfs Revd
No L 3034 & 6 to 30

Refers Certain observations on the departmental statement for Constructing the Market frontage buildings

for

4 to 31

No C 1-768/Licence to Statement 26/6/29

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE
Bangalore C 13 Dated 24th June 1929.

GS 1 JULY 1929

FROM

C MACHIA I Q B A
PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPALITY
BANGALORE CITY

ml 67-28-22

To

B Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq , B A , B L ,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No L 1937-40/M1 161-20-7 dated 28th September 1921, sanctioning an estimate amounting to Rs 1,20,820 for constructing Market Frontage Building of the new General Market, I have the horor to submit herewith for sanction of Government a deviation statement amounting to Rs 1,27,022 together with a copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council at their meeting held on 1st June 1929, sanctioning the deviation The excess is mainly due to an expenditure of Rs 6,200/- against the lumpsum provision of Rs 3,120/- under roofing the -- Towers and also some new items worked out, which were found necessary during execution Extra and additional rates for some of the items had to be given due to some changes in the construction

Under the above circumstances, I request that sanction of Government may be obtained to the Deviation

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C Machia
PRESIDENT

Additional Subject No 1 --

To sanction a revised deviation statement submitted by the Special Engineer with his letter No 1281 dated 28th - May 1929, in respect of the Market Frontage resulting in an - excess of Rs 6,259/-

(Note --- Original estimate Rs 1,20,763
Present deviation statement Rs 1,27,022)

Resolution ---

S a n c t i o n e d

Sd C Machia,
President

"Copy'

C Machia
XXXX President

SM

23 6 29

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 67 of 1928

Serial No

GT No 155-47
3 JULY 1929
L S G BRANCH

3) Forwarded, with Compliments, to the Chief Engineer of Mysore for favour of scrutiny of the deviation statement & Return early

By order
For General Secy & Govt

3/9/29

4) Returned, with compliments, to the General Secretary to Government

5) 2 The deviation statement prepared by the Assistant Engineer could not be checked in detail, for want of information required in this office note with the marginal remarks by the Chief Engineer (copy enclosed)

6) 3 The information required may kindly be caused to be furnished early

22 8 29
Chief Engineer of Mysore

Bangalore,
23rd August 1929

7)

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01714—GPB—30 000—18-4 28

Serial No

8) Draft submitted for Consideration as to whether the information required may be called for as the deviation statement may be sanctioned as requested by the Director of the Irrigation

28/8

OFFICE OF THE S M C N 28 SEP 1929 No 2857

9) The information may be called for from the President Some draft and submit file to Secretariat for perusal

27/8

24 To The President, Bangalore City Municipal Council No L 1546 of 29 & 29 Calls for Detailed replies on each of the points raised by the Chief Engineer

GEN & REV SECT 30 OCT 1929 L S G BRANCH

10) Issue and return Reply

27/9/29

11) Put Members

27/9

12) C.

WD 01684—GPB—30 000—20-4 29

D 4506

(4

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 67

App F. III—2]

of 1928

Serial No	
34	From The Mc Commr., Bandra City Municipality. No 8 20206 of 10/13-3-30 Forwards Copy of the explanatory note of the Engineer-in-Charge of the Bandra City Improvement Works, giving detailed replies on each of the points raised by the Chief Engineer in the Deviation Statement amounting to Rs 127,022 for Construction the Market frontage buildings of the New General Market

No 2589 of 18/3/30

13) The information was required by the Chief Engineer in connection with the Sanction of the Deviation Statement (Vide paragraphs 4 to 6 of the above notes) The file may now be referred unofficially to the Chief Engineer. Submitted

TT 3 30

14) un-seen) 17/3

15) Forwarded to the Chief Engineer with compliments with reference to the observations contained in paras 4 to 6 above.

Dy No 106/29-30 of 19th March 1930

8 MAR 1930

19/3/30 Chief Secy

364/28 3M No No 2589 of 18/3/30

-GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Submitted

KMcS

(5

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 67 of 1928

Serial No	
	16) Returned, with compliments
PW 258 S/21 5/30	17) 2 The detailed estimate and plans as per actual execution may kindly be sent to enable the estimate being scrutinised Jas Silver 20 5 30 Chief Engineer of Mysore Bangalore, May 1930
	18) Please do 57 RB 23/5/30
	19) [illegible]
	20) Draft sent SH 28/5/30 31
	21) [illegible] 29/5/30
36	To The Municipal Commissioner and the Resident City Municipality Bowring No. L 8820 D 5/6 30 Requests him to submit the detailed estimates plans as per actual execution for constructing the Market Frontage building of the New General Market
Sus A. 45	
37	From do - No S 20 33 8 30 730 States that the deviation statement already sent with No 36 was prepared as per actual execution after the work was completed & which will serve as a revised estimate.

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 066—GPB—80 000—26 7 29

L717 (6

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 67 of 1928

Serial No

22) The detailed estimates & plans as an actual execution were called for at the instance of the Chief Engineer — Vide paragraph 17 of the notes supra The file may now be sent to the Chief Engineer un-officially Submd

1557/1418

23) Gen Secy 14/8/30

14 8 30

24) Yes RG 15/8/30

25) Forwarded with Compliments unofficially to the Chief Engineer of Mysore

By Order

V Subrahmanyasastri

15 8 30 for Gen Secy to Govt

10, no 12 of 1930

GEN SECTT 19 AUG 1930 L S G BRANCH

Rg(W) no 11469/1930

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30 000—26 7 29

Serial No

2 o 46.
25-9-30

96/9/30

16) Returned, with compliments, to the General Secretary to Government, with the following observations -

(1) The necessity and the reasons for the payment of rates in excess of those tendered for the following items have not been explained -

	As provided in the revised estimate Rs	As tendered Rs
(a) 1st Class Mangalore tiles on teak reepers	sq 40/-	35
(b) Burnt stone slabs 6" thick exposed parts two lines dressed and rest roughly dressed	0- 9-6) 0-10-6) 0-12-0)	0-9-6
(c) Jack arched terrace roofing	90-0-0	65-0-0

(2) An extra rate of Rs 25 is paid for item (3) for cutting square tile shape in cement plastering on top, instead of using, as per tender, the cement flooring tiles departmentally supplied The rate paid is high Data for this high rate have not been furnished

(3) Superstructure course lined masonry in chunnam mortar and cement pointed - No reason is given for passing an extra rate of Re 1 for lining the pointing when this work is covered by the sanctioned rate itself- vide item 88

(4) In the remarks column, it is stated that the rate of Rs 28 is wrongly entered for cut stone bracket against the tender rate of Rs 25

WD 7—GPB—3 000—15 7 29

Serial No.

the cost is not deducted in the total amount the rate may therefore be got corrected as per tender and the excess deducted from the total

(5) It is stated that a sum of Rs 374 incurred on items 95, 96, 98 and 99 was charged under unforeseen charges and contingencies but is wrongly entered separately This provision wi therefore have to be deleted from the estimate

(6) The Municipal Engineer has stated that the cost of iron clamps to doors, &c , was not included in the original sanctioned rate and hence it was separately provided Separate provision fo iron clamps is against circular orders The rate for doors should generally cover the cost of clamps

(7) The extra rate of annas 8 per square charged fo lining to cement pointing for the cuddeppa sla also not admissible as the rate of flooring is covered by the tendered rate of Rs 35 per square

(8) In the tender rate list, it is mentioned that a sum of Rs 1,814-14-6 has to be recovered from the contractor's bills for the materials collected at site, but it is not stated whethe recovery has been done

Ag Chief Engineer of Mysore

Bangalore,

September 1940.

L717

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

MC File No 67 of 1928-29

Serial No	
	Draft subd for approval Sbs 29/9/30 Issue B 30 9 30
38	To The Municipal Commissioner thro the President Bangalore Cigne No L3034 dated 6 10.30 Makes certain observations in the deviation statement of Constructing the Market Fountain Building
	—

B
55

WD 0 3 1000—GPB—87 0

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT

Section ml
File No 67-28
Serial No 24
No d 1546 with enclosures

Diary No of letter replied to 5

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *192*

To
The President,
Bangalore ag- ml cl

Sir, With reference to your letter no C-1. 768 dated the 26th June 1929, soliciting under the circumstances explanation to a deviation statement amounting to Rs 127,022 for Constructing Market Frontage Building of the New General Market I am desired

)ate of issue 19-8-29

)igned by H. T KS Iyer

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 063—GPB—20 000 12-8-29

to forward herewith
a Copy of the Office
Note of the Chief
Engineer's Office together
with the marginal
remarks of the Chief
Engineer and to
request that detailed
replies on each of
the points raised therein
may be furnished
early

The enclosures read
with your letter under
reply are herewith
returned for retention &
re-transmission -

I have etc,

Sd: Leg. & Gould

(Offg)
Col
24/8/29

3

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE

Bangalore City Dated 10th March 1930

GEN 4506
17 MAR 1930

FROM

C MACHIA ESQ B A
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

To

Ml 67-28
Sec 34

N Madhava Rao, Esq., B A, B L,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

CHIEF SECRETARY
15 MAR 1930

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 1546/Ml 67-28-24 dated 29th August 1929 returning the deviation statement amounting to Rs 1,27,022 for constructing the Market -- Frontage building of the new General Market, together with certain observations made by the Chief Engineer, for furnishing detailed replies on each point, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the explanatory note of the Engineer in charge of the City Improvement Works, giving detailed replies on each of the points raised by the Chief Engineer on the office note for favour of obtaining early sanction of Government for the deviation

The enclosures received with the letter under -- reply are herewith retransmitted

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Machia
Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments,

P Kantaraja Urs

Honorary President

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT

4

Diary No of letter replied to 4506

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )

the *193*

Date of issue 5/6/30

Signed by Mr T-K S Iyer

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section ml
File No 67-28
Serial No 36
No L 8820
Encs records
Enc 1 7

To The ml Commr
thro' the President, Bard.
Agy ml - cl:

Sir, With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no. G 20 204 dated the 10th/15th March 1930, I am directed to request [new] to be so good as to submit [for reference] the detailed estimate, plans as per actual execution for Construct the Market Frontage Bui of the New General Mark

over

WD02327—GPB 5 000—15 4 30

Bearer etc

2 The ~~enclosures~~
Connected papers as received
with your letter under
reply are herewith returned

I have etc.

For Genl Secy. to Govt

(Sd)
28/3/30
31

WD 855—GPB—8 000—22-11-27

[P W C 1]

6

ORDERS

NOTES

Chief Engineer's remarks

Deviation statement for construction of Market frontage building of the new General Market Bangalore City

SUPERIOR

Office Note

The deviation statement could not be checked in detail for want of the following information -

Necessary

(a) Plans and detailed estimate are not received as executed to verify the provisions

Necessary

(b) report explaining the several deviation such as providing enhanced rates over the accepted tender rates and also entering different rates for one and the same item of works is not furnished

Necessary

(c) The original sanctioned plan and estimate are not received to verify the sanctioned items

(d) A copy of the accepted tender rates is necessary to verify the rates

Yes

Several new items of works are carried out but no explanations are given in the remarks column

Explanations wanted

Yes, call for information

Some sanctioned items of works are split up into two or three different items and charged separately such as lining to the cudapah flooring in cement pointing and basement pointing, earth-filling and water consolidation for the same Iron clamps to doors All these works are covered by the rates in the original items, vide items 57 and 59 , 75, 84 and 88

Wanted

A sum of Rs 13,603/- is spent on new items of works of which Rs 1,913/- as per orders of the Chief Engineer and Rs 8,690/- as per approval of the

Municipal Commissioner and the rest of the items Rs 8,441 without any reasons in remarks

There is a corresponding savings in the sanctioned items of works

Lump sum amounts of Rs 589 and 751 are entered without details under contingencies and unforeseen respectively without any explanation, vide items 43 and 48 Some of the items chargeable to contingencies and unforeseen are noted separately, such as lamp oil, temporary shed repairing, stacking joists drilling holes, etc , vide items 95, 96,98 and 99

The discrepancies on the several items of works are given below -

(1) Item (1) the Chief Engineer's date of sanction allowing different rates in filling sand in foundation is not noted

Items 3 and 4 In filling concrete foundation, 25,766 Cft against 13,352 Cft sanctioned is provided of which 15,881¾ @ 0-5-6 Cft and rest with chunam and surki concrete @ 4,3 and 5 annas respectively A rate of 6 pies is recommended for surki concrete for a portion of the work though a rate of 5 annas paid for similar work without reasons, vide item 3

(8) There is an excess of Rs 2,144/- in superstructure rubble stone in mortar The reason is stated to be due to extra masonry by the omissio of Doors and Windows in rearwall

& may be called for

The revised plan and original plan seem necessary to verify this as the excess is very large

(11) A rate of Rs 4-8-0 as accepted tender rate for cut stone archwork with moulding is noted against Rs 2-8-0 Cft There is an excess of

Rs 2,358/- under this sub-head The explanations given in the margin seem not acceptable It is stated in the remarks column some moulded cut stone work over pillars being omitted in the sanctioned estimate, hence claimed by the contractor Details seem necessary

te reasons

Item 12 Enhanced rate of annas four is entered without reasons for relieving archwork

Item 14 Enhanced rate of 6 annas is recommended per cft against tender rate 1-10-0, i e , Rs 2 per cft for cut stone masonry The reason is that the pillar is constructed with slabs Building with slabs is easier than cut stone work 6" thick slabs dressed exposed portions paid at 12 annas sft with pointing, vide item (10) Two such slabs are required to build 1 cft cost Rs 1-8-0

Mortar 0-4-0

ll for data

1-12-0 seems reasonable Data seems necessary

-do-

Item 19 Enhanced rate of annas eight is entered without reasons in the remarks

-do-

Item 22 -do- -do- 6 annas extra

-do-

" 31 -do- -do- Rs 3 extra

-do-

" 34 One Rupee -do- -do- extra

-do-

" 41 annas 4 extra -do- -do-

ll for reasons

" 72(a) 3 annas per cft is entered against the accepted tender 0-4-3 cft vide item (3) for chunam concrete without reasons

ull for reasons

72 a rate of Re one per sft for stone slabs is noted against the accepted tender rate annas 0-12-0 vide item 10

73 Cleaning and washing the steel girders and joist a rate of Re one per cwt is recommended over the accepted tender rate without any reasons

must be heavy special clamps as on the our doors in the offices

78 Iron clamps the cost of the same is included in the accepted rate Rs 3-8-0 Hitherto no such extra rate paid for doors and windows

9

81 Extra concrete over terrace with chunam the accepted tender rate is 4-3-0 per cft The thickness of the concrete varies at crown of arch the thickness will be less and haunch's more No extra concrete is allowable Explanation seems necessary

ses

86 (a) stone in mortar 10 annas per cft is provided over the accepted tender rate of annas 8 vide item 8 and 9

easons wanted

22 8 29

Exec Engineer
22-8-29

hRR/22nd

ML 61 - 28 - 51

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE

No G to 33
6 8 30
Encls Record + two plans

Bangalore City Dated 30th July 1930

FROM

C MACHIA ESQ B A
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

£ 717
6, 3,

To

R Ranga Rao, Esq , B A , B L , L S G (illegible)
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

RG 8/8/30 VS

S i r,

With reference to your letter No L 8820/M1 67-28-36 dated 5th June 1930 requesting to submit the detailed estimate and plans as per actual execution for constructing the Market Frontage building of the new General market, I have the honor to state that the deviation statement submitted with this Office letter No G 204 dated 15th March 1930 was prepared as per actual execution after the work was completed and which will serve as a revised estimate

The plan showing the construction is herewith sent together with the enclosures received with the letter under reply

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Machia
Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments,
P G Narasimha Chari
Honorary President

SM

To

The Municipal Commissioner Bangalore

thro' the Pdt City Ml Cl Bangalore

Deary no:
L717 — L30324
Enc Deviation Statement
abstract estimate
detailed
Sir

Ml 67-28-35

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No G To 33 dated the 30th July 1930, stating that the deviation statement amounting to Rs 1 27 022 for constructing the Market Frontage Building of the New General Market Bangalore City was prepared as per actual execution after the work was completed etc I am directed to observe as follows -

By 6-10-30
Boley mr V S Sastri

(1) The necessity and the reasons for the payment of rates in excess of those tendered for the following items have not been explained -

	As provided in the revised estimate Rs	As tendered Rs
(a) 1st Class Mangalore tiles on teak reepers	Sq 40/-	35/-
(b) Burnt stone slabs 6" thick exposed parts two lines dressed and rest roughly -- dressed	0- 9-0 0-10-0 0-12-0	0-9-0
(c) Jack arched terrance roofing	90- 0- 0	65-0-0

(2) An extra rate of Rs 25/- is paid for item (3) for cutting square tile shape in cement plastering on top instead of using as per tender the cement flooring tiles --- departmentally supplied The rate paid is ~~Ex~~ high Data for this high rate have not been furnished

(3) <u>Superstructure course lined masonry in chunnam mortar and cement pointed</u> - No reason is given for passing an extr rate of Re 1/- for lin[illegible]

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L 20024 / Rec 67-28-38 Dated Bangalore Mysore 6-10-30

From

R RANGA RAO Esq B A B L
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness th Maharaja of Mysore

To

C Machia, Esq , L A ,
Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City,
THROUGH the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore

Sir

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No G To 33 dated the 30th July 1930, stating that the deviation statement amounting to Rs 1,27,022 for constructing the Market Frontage Building of the New General Market, Bangalore City, was prepared, as per actual execution after the work was completed etc , I am directed to observe as follows -

(1) The necessity and the reasons for the payment of rates in excess of those tendered for the following items have not been explained:-

	As provided in the revised estimate Rs	As tendered Rs
(a) 1st Class Mangalore tiles on teak reepers	Sq 40/-	35/-
(b) Burnt stone slabs 6" thick exposed parts two lines dressed and rest roughly dressed	0- 9-6 0-10-6 0-12-0	0-9-6
(c) Jack arched terrace roofing	90- 0-0	65-0-0

(2) An extra rate of Rs 25/- is paid for item (3) for cutting square tile snap in cement plastering on top, instead of using as per tender, the cement flooring tiles departmentally supplied The rate paid is high Data for this high rate have not been furnished

(3) Superstructure course lined masonry in chunnam mortar and cement pointed - No reason is given for passing an extra rate of Re 1/- for lining the pointing when this work is covered by the ---pen tioned rate itself vide item 88

(4) In the remarks column, it is stated that the rate of As is wrongly entered for cut stone bracket against the tender rate Rs 25/- The cost is not deducted in the total amount The rate therefore be got corrected as per tender and the excess deducted the total

(5) It is stated that a sum of Rs 374/- incurred on items 98 and 99 was charged under unforeseen charges and contingen but is wrongly entered separately This provision will therefo have to be deleted from the estimate

(6) The Municipal Engineer has stated that the cost of iro clamps to doors, etc , was not included in the original sanctio rate and hence it was separately provided Separate provision iron clamps is against circular orders The rate for doors sho generally cover the cost of clamps

(7) The extra rate of annas 8 per square charged for linin cement pointing for the Cuddappa slab flooring is also not admi le as the rate is covered by the tendered rate of Rs 35/- per s

(8) In the tender rate list, it is mentioned that a sum of 1,814-14-6 has to be recovered from the contractor's bills for materials collected at site, but it is not stated whether recov has been done

The deviation statement and the enclosures received with letter are herewith returned

I have the hon our to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

V Subramanyasastri

For General Secretary to Government